

FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.SCEOC.ORG

803-635-4270

803-635-4607

803-635-6894

12

ND

PERFORMANCE	T:	4	
PERFURMANCE	IRENDS LI	VER 4-YEAR	PERILID

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Unsatisfactory	Below Average	N/A
2002	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2003 2004	Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

	Definition of Critical Terms
Advanced	Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations
Proficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations
Basic	Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level
Below Basic	Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	43	246	80
Percent satisfied with learning environment	42.9%	57.6%	60.3%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	58.1%	66.0%	58.1%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	14.3%	86.5%	53.2%

- annota madio	200.00.
PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP	

	/	nt isting	\ a /	Basic	/.u /	ccient	/ niceo	out all ed
	olln	ent lesting	lested old Be	Jon Basic	Basic ol	Proficient of	Advanced Prof	cient arred
	Em D	94 010	0/0/2				0/0/	' / &
			Er	igiisn/Lar	iguage Ar			
All students	591	97.1	57.1	35.1	7.8	N/A	7.8	17.6
Gender	000	00.4	07.7	00.0	4.0	NI/A	4.0	47.0
Male	302	96.4	67.7	28.0	4.3	N/A	4.3	17.6
Female	289	97.9	46.7	42.1	11.2	N/A	11.2	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group	0.5	95.4	22.2	52.1	14.6	N/A	14.6	17.6
White	65		33.3	-			-	
African-American	519	97.5	59.7	33.4	6.9	N/A	6.9	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	7	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status	105	00.5	FC 0	00.4	0.0	NIA	0.0	47.0
Not disabled	465	98.5	53.0	38.1	9.0	N/A	9.0	17.6
Disabled	126	92.1	79.7	18.9	1.4	N/A	1.4	17.6
Migrant Status					N.// A			4=0
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	591	97.1	57.1	35.2	7.6	N/A	7.6	17.6
English Proficiency		0.0	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	N1/A	47.0
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	591	97.1	57.0	35.2	7.8	N/A	7.8	17.6
Socio-Economic Status		00.0	50.7	04.0	0.0	N1/A	0.0	47.0
Subsidized meals	475	96.8	59.7	34.0	6.2	N/A	6.2	17.6
Full-pay meals	116	98.3	43.7	41.4	14.9	N/A	14.9	17.6
				V-4-				
All students	504	07.0	F0 0	Mathe		0.0	F 0	45.5
	591	97.8	56.0	38.3	4.8	0.8	5.6	15.5
Gender Male	000	07.0	00.4	00.0	0.0	4.7	4.7	45.5
iviale Female	302	97.0	62.1	33.2	3.0	1.7	4.7	15.5
	289	98.6	50.0	43.4	6.6	N/A	6.6	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	C.F.	00.5	40.0	F2.0	4.0	4.0	0.0	15.5
White	65	98.5	40.0	52.0	4.0	4.0	8.0	15.5
African-American	519	97.9	58.1	36.7	4.7	0.5	5.2	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	7	85.7	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	105	00.5	E0.0	40.7	F 7	1.0	C 7	45.5
Not disabled	465	98.5	50.6	42.7	5.7	1.0	6.7	15.5
Disabled	126	95.2	84.4	15.6	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Migrant Status	NI/A	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	591	97.8	55.8	38.5	4.8	0.8	5.7	15.5
English Proficiency	NIVA	0.0	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	NI/A	15.5
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	591	97.8	55.9	38.4	4.8	0.8	5.6	15.5
Socio-Economic Status	475	07.0	F7.0	07.0		0.5	4.0	45.5
Subsidized meals	475	97.9	57.8	37.3	4.4	0.5	4.9	15.5
Full-pay meals	116	97.4	46.6	44.3	6.8	2.3	9.1	15.5

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	8404, 0/0	183 010 86	40,	280 olo	3/2	ALC OI Profi
		/ • •			/Langua	ae Arts		
$\overline{\mathbf{A}}$	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	303	N/A	55.7	35.2	8.7	0.3	9.1
•	Grade 8	275	N/A	55.3	32.7	10.5	1.6	12.1
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
8	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	292	95.9	56.1	33.3	10.5	N/A	10.5
	Grade 8	299	98.3	58.2	36.8	5.0	N/A	5.0

				IVI	athematio	S		
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2002	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	303	N/A	69.6	25.6	3.8	1.0	4.8
•	Grade 8	275	N/A	61.3	35.2	2.7	0.8	3.5
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
2003	Grade 5	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
20	Grade 6	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Grade 7	292	96.9	58.3	33.8	6.7	1.3	7.9
	Grade 8	299	98.7	53.8	42.9	2.9	0.4	3.3

SCHOOL PROFILE

(Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 601)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	7.2%	Up from 6.9%	7.2%	14.4%
Retention rate	0.7%	Up from 0.6%	3.8%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	93.4%	Up from 93.3%	94.7%	95.2%
	17.6%	Up from 15.3%	5.7%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	19.0%	Up from 15.6%	16.4%	14.1%
	4.5%	Up from 3.1%	8.3%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	7.0%	Up from 0.3%	1.4%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 46)				
Teachers with advanced degrees	50.0%	No change	47.4%	47.1%
Continuing contract teachers	58.7%	Down from 65.9%	75.6%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	r 71.0%	Up from 69.1%	79.0%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.8%	Down from 97.1%	94.3%	95.0%
	\$38,928	Down 2.3%	\$38,777	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	12.9 days	Up from 9.0 days	11.4 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	1.0	Down from 2.0	2.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	21.7 to 1	Up from 10.0 to 1	18.9 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	83.2%	Down from 89.3%	86.3%	88.9%
	\$5,742	Down 3.9%	\$6,451	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	62.5%	Up from 61.9%	59.2%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	40.3%	Up from 34.2%	82.5%	94.8%
	yes	N/A	yes	yes

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

A la la constant a 41 a const	C B4' '	D - 4 -
Abbreviations	tor Wissind	ı Data

		Ū	
N/A Not Applicable	N/C Not Collected	N/R Not Reported	I/S Insufficient Sample

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Parents, students, community and staff members have strengthened Fairfield Middle School's learning community by implementing various initiatives. The school's absolute data revealed small gains in the proficient and advanced categories for the eighth-grade population. However, a high percentage of the student population scored below basic in mathematics and language arts.

The School Improvement Council, along with other staff members, revised the school renewal plan and incorporated research-based strategies to address these deficiencies. Each department developed an ongoing improvement plan, which highlighted pertinent professional developments.

We implemented ninety-minute blocks in mathematics and language arts classes. Next year we will implement five eighty-minute blocks for all disciplines. Fewer transitions during the school day will greatly increase time-on-task for all learners.

The language arts, mathematics, science, and social studies teachers implemented the curriculum mapping initiative this year. They developed and aligned local curricula to state standards. Next year we will thoroughly address strategies to link assessment to instruction.

We provided ongoing remediation through the Communities in Schools and homework center programs. All students will receive remediation in our two new computer labs next year. Parents will have access to technology in the new parent resource center as well. We are constantly searching for innovative ways to increase parental involvement. Parents were invited to Family Math Night and served on the School Improvement Council and Parent Teacher Organization. We formed PAW, Pastors at Work, to bridge gaps between the school and ministerial communities.

The curriculum and teacher specialists have provided technical assistance, which guided the curriculum development process and facilitated the "best practices" institute for the teachers.

We piloted a "universal breakfast in the classroom" program which research has proven increases student achievement and improves discipline. We provided several extracurricular activities for our students: football team, basketball team, cheerleading squad, softball team, baseball team, soccer team, band, chorus, Junior Leadership Institute, academic challenge team, and service-learning projects.

We will continue to "school" for student success as we look forward to next year.

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.